Original scientific paper

Economics of Agriculture 3/2017 UDC: 636.4.083

# OPTIMAL FLOCK STRUCTURE OF PIG FARM PROVIDING MINIMUM COSTS

Ivan Mičić<sup>1</sup>, Zoran Rajić<sup>2</sup>, Jelena Živković<sup>3</sup>, Dragan Orović<sup>4</sup>, Marko Mičić<sup>5</sup>, Ivana Mičić<sup>6</sup>, Marija Mičić<sup>7</sup>

#### Abstract

The study deals with the analysis of production costs, as well as the net area in the production of the herd of organizational structures at the pig farm. The question arises of the optimum production structure in the cooperative household, which is mainly oriented to the production of Pigmeat. In this paper, a concrete model of linear programming for the optimal organizational structure of the herd of pig farms of the net effective area was defined. The research covered the cooperative farm "1.Decembr" in Žitorađa in Toplički district. For 2013, the production technology of agricultural animals was followed, and economic results were analyzed. In the observed period of one year. It was found that there were 28,252 throats on the farm. Total agricultural growth in 2013 amounted to 664,920 kg, in the amount of  $781,569.7 \in$ . Total death: piglets on wolves 6,315 throats, stuffed pigs 3,425 throats, 17,150 kg, tooth 928 throats, 40,980 kg, pigs 67 throats 13,930 kg, immature 3 throat 890 kg

Key words: crop rotation, surface area by throat, increment, death, minimum costs

### **JEL:** *Q12, Q13*

- 2 Zoran Rajić Ph.D., Full Professor, University in Belgrade, Faculty of Agriculture, Nemanjina street no. 6, 11080 Zemun, Serbia, Phone: +381 11 261 53 15, E-mail:<u>zorajic@agrif.bg.ac.rs</u>
- 3 Jelena Živković M.A., University in Belgrade, Faculty of Agriculture, Nemanjina street no. 6, 11080 Zemun, Serbia, Phone: +381 63 878 82 51, E-mail:<u>zivkovic@jaxoo.com</u>
- 4 Dragan Orović M.A., Ph.D. student, University in Belgrade, Faculty of Agriculture, Nemanjina street no. 6, 11080 Zemun, Serbia, Phone: +381 69870 25 20, E-mail: <u>draganorovic@gmail.com</u>
- 5 Marko Mičić, graduate economist, University of Niš, Faculty of Economics, Trg Kralja Aleksandra Ujedinitelja no. 11, Niš, Serbia, Phone: +381 63 680 040, E-mail: <u>markomicic89@gmail.com</u>
- 6 Ivana Mičić M.A, Ph.D. student, University of Niš, Faculty of Economics, Trg Kralja Aleksandra Ujedinitelja no. 11 Niš, Serbia, Phone: +381 63 233 603, E-mail: <u>ivancica@gmail.com</u>
- 7 Marija Mičić M.A, Ph.D. student, University of Niš, Faculty of Technology in Leskovac, Bulevar Oslobođenja no. 124, Leskovac, Serbia, Phone: +381 62 867 45 98, E-mail: marija84micic@gmail.com

EP 2017 (64) 3 (1003-1018)

<sup>1</sup> Ivan Mičić M.A., Ph.D. student, University in Belgrade, Faculty of Agriculture, Nemanjina street no. 6, 11080 Zemun, Serbia, Phone: +381 11 261 53 15, E-mail: <u>divanlav@gmail.com</u>

#### Introduction

Regardless of the market-oriented pig production, it is very difficult to provide a detailed insight into the poultry farm's turnover in pig production, which is the basis of the research in the work and proving its net effective surface area of the main structure in the production process. (Andrić, 1998; Mičić et al., 2016a) find that with the increase in the number of deciduous piglets by sows from 10 to 20 heads of pigs, the price of piglet is reduced by 79.09%, while the costs of sows increase by 11.67% per year. (Džinić et al., 2003; Petrović et al., 2010; Mičić, 2014) states that the improvement of the genetic basis of pigs is an indispensable prerequisite for achieving the highest intensity in this branch of livestock production.(Petrovićet al., 2010; Vidovic et al., 2012) state that the annual genetic progress for daily gain was 8-11 g, for food conversion between 0.03 and 0.05 kg, as well as 0.35 - 1.00% for meat content in Hemispheres. (Tomović et al., 2005; Mičić et al., 2016b) find that in the foreseeable future, on the basis of previous research and results in practice, there are opinions that the production of freight can be expected to have much better results as well: The framework organizational structure should move within the following limits : Piglets 20-25%, breeding fowls 5-8%, fattening pigs 70-80%, sows 4-8% and non-fennel 0,3-5%.(Radovic, 2002;Rajić, 2003; Mičić et al., 2016b) state that the next space is planned for an individual of certain categories:piglets on cheese 0,4 m<sup>2</sup>, breeding pig 0,7 m<sup>2</sup>, pig fodder 1,2 m<sup>2</sup>, sowers 1,5 m<sup>2</sup> and nests 1,8 m<sup>2</sup>. The costs of certain categories of holding are as follows: for a pig 700 dinars, piglets for breeding (breeding subspecies) 1,800 dinars, pig meat 3,500 dinars, sowers 5,000 dinars and non-salty 4,000 dinars. The same author states that "The specificity of livestock production is conditioned by the fact that in addition to the final line of livestock production ("final categories"), there are several other categories. There are two possible variants in defining livestock variables. The first implies that each category of livestock represents a separate activity and is expressed by a physical or conditional number of throats. While the second variant predicts that in the model only the final category of a particular livestock is figured, and that the necessary inputs and predicted outputs of the accompanying categories cumulatively point out through the final category. (Živković, Perunović, 2012; Petrović et al., 2013; Zekić et al., 2013; Mičić et al., 2016a) They conclude that studies related to the economic parameters of pig production are related to costs in the first stage of the production process and the determination of the total costs of individual categories of pigs they hold using the optimal organizational structure of rotation of crops on the farm, which provides minimal costs.

### The aim of the research

In proofing and research, a scientific method was first used, whose application allows to explain and predict the relationship between certain relevant inputs and the results of the achieved effects in the production of pigs. In accordance with the strategy of developing the production of fatteners, the aim of the research is to increase the quality of production in pig breeding with the highest number of analyzed and realized economic parameters, originating from noble pure breeds, which are grown on farms in Serbia. When creating this work, data from multiple sources was used. These are the quantities of production, analysis of pig production for a longer period of time. The analysis of such data alone would not be possible if it was not approved by the farm, and the data were further processed by math-statistical methods.

#### Material and methods

The research covered the cooperative agricultural farm "1 December" in Žitorađa. The said farm has a closed production cycle that includes the production of fattening pigs. The farm produced 28,829 piglets in 2013. In 2013, 75 persons with appropriate qualifications were employed in the farm. Costs in the production of farm animals on the farm are based on natural indicators determined on the basis of the investigations carried out in 2013. Costs are covered on the basis of the norms of the required space and equipment, approach to the estimation of depreciation costs, on the basis of which the categories of fixed costs are calculated. When determining the surface of a pig, it starts from an independent variable. For conclusions, farm production parameters, costs, increment, total increase and mortality were monitored. The significance of the results of the research in the production of fattening pigs were monitored independently on the farm in 2013.

### **Results and Discussion**

The strategy of pig production, within the scope of research, was analyzed at the farm:

- Planting herds on the farm in 2013,
- Limitations: Accommodation capacities, organizational structure of herds on the farm,

- Criteria Function: Minimum Farm Costs.

In addition to theoretical explanation and application on the general examples, the efficiency of the optimal surface on the case of fattening pigs in 2013 on the farm was also shown. The costs of pig production on the farm are based on natural indicators.

In the cooperative household, the calculation of costs was made in accordance with the production processes. Costs also relate to the process of production, space and equipment that is carried out on the basis of norms.

## Plantation of a herd on a pig farm "1.Decenbar "in Zitoradza in 2013

For the pig farming on the farm, the price of all products is taken into account. More information on this is shown in (Tables 1,3,5,7,9 and 11,). The entry status of all categories of pigs for the period from 01.01.to 31.12. 2013.is shown.

Table 2,4,6,8,10 and 12 show the output status of all types of pigs for the period until 31 December 2013.

			Admissi	on for the period from	01.01. to	31. 12. 2	2013	
No.	category livestock	Balance a beginning		Transla	ted		all	I
		Who	kg	from the group	Who	kg	Who	kg
1	2	3	4	5	7	8	9	10
1.	Prasad			Pigs	7132			
2.	suckling			from breeding sows	657			
3.	Boars			from breeding boars	4			
4.	pigs	7.132		from weaning piglets	27649		35442	

#### Table 1. Input condition

Source: Authors' calculation based on data from Mičić, 2013

#### Table 2. Output status

			Out	put for t	he perio	d until 31 1	2 2013			
Translated	slaught	ered	died		Sold			ce at end year	all	Growth in kg
a group	Who	kg	Who	kg	g Who k		Who	kg	kg	23-15
14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
prefattening										
extracted sows	186	36720			475	107634				
fatling	6983	195080	982	40980	18570	1960320	7568			
internal realization	682	68200								
pigs	zs l						35442	2354934	3019854	664920

Source: Authors' calculation based on data from Mičić, 2013

## Table 3. Input condition

			Admissio	n for the pe	riod from 01	.01. to 3	1. 12.	2013	
No.	category livestock	Balance at the beginningyearsWhokg		Amount	Tran	slated		a	11
110.				Rsd	from the group	Who	kg	Who	kg
1	2	3 4		5	6	7	8	9	10
1.	Prasad suckling				pigs				
2.	pigs1.1.13.	2858	8574	1243230	Prasad				
3.	Oprihodovano	37642						40500	8574
	In total	40500	8574	1243230					

			Οι	itput f	or the p	erio	d unti	1 31	12 2013			
Translated	1		slaug	htered	Died		Sold			ce at end year	all	Growth in kg
a group	Who	kg	Who	kg	Who	kg	Who	kg	Who	kg	rsd	23-15
12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
In weaned piglets	31308	156540			6315						22698300	
pigs 31.12.13									2877	8631	1251495	
In total									40500	165171	23949795	
State 1.1.13									40500	8574	1243230	156597
Growth									-	156597	22706565	

#### Table 4. Output status

Source: Authors' calculation based on data from Mičić, 2013

## Table 5. Input condition

			Admiss	ion for the <b>p</b>	period from	n 01.01.	to 31.	12.2013	3
No.	category livestock	Balance at the beginningyears				ice at th iingyea	-		
		Who		Who		Who		Who	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
	weaned piglets				pigs				
1.	pigs 1.1.13.	4371	65565	9506925	Прасад				
2.	Translated piglets	31308	156540	22698300				35679	222105
3.	In total	35679	222105	32205225					

Source: Authors' calculation based on data from Mičić, 2013

#### Table 6. Output status

			Outpu	it for 1	the period	l until 31	12 201	3				
Translated			slaugh	tered	Died		Sold			ce at end year	all	Growth in kg
a group	Who	kg	Who	kg	Who	kg	Who	kg	Who	kg	rsd	23-15
12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
Prasad fattening	27649	552980			3425	17125					80182100	
pigs 31.12.13									4605	73680	10683600	
In total									35679	643785	90865700	
State 1.1.13									35679	222105	32205225	421680
Growth									-	421680	58660475	

			Ent	rance for the	period fro	m 01.0	1.201	3		
No.	Category Livestock	Stanjen years	aBeginning	Amount	Translate	d		Everything		
110.	LIVESTOCK	Who			from the group	Who	kg	Who	kg	
1	2	3 4		5	6	7	8	9	10	
	Breeding gilts				Pigs					
1.	Pigs01.01.2013.	560	73920	10718400						
2.	Translated from the site	<sup>1</sup> 682 68200		9889000				1242	142120	
3.	In total	1242	142120	20607400						

### Table 7. Input state

Source: Authors' calculation based on data from Mičić, 2013

#### Table 8. Output status

			Οι	itput fo	r the	perio	d unti	<b>I 31</b>	12 201	3		
Tı	ranslate	d	Slaug	ghtered	Di	ed	Sol	d		ce at the the year	Everything Amount	Growth In kg
a group	Who	kg	Who	kg	Who	kg	Who	kg	Who	kg	rsd	23-13
12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
Sows	781	117150									16986750	
Pigs 31.12.13									461	58335	8458575	
In total									1242	175485	25445325	
Pigs 01.01.13									1242	142120	20607400	33365
Growth									-	33365	4837925	

Source: Authors' calculation based on data from Mičić, 2013

## Table 9. Input state

			E	Intrance for th	e period fr	om 01.0	1.2013	3	
	Category Livestock	StanjenaBeginning yearsWhokg	Amount	Tra	nslated		Ever	ything	
No.	LIVESTOCK			Rsd	From the group	Who	kg	Who	kg
1	2	3 4		5	6	7	8	9	10
	Breeding sows				Pigs				
1.	Pigs 01.01.13.	1534	268450	38925250					
2.	Translated	781	117150	16986750				2315	385600
3.	In total	2315	385600	55912000					

			C	Jutpi	it for t	he peri	od un	til 31	12 201	13			
Tra	inslated	I	Slaugh	Slaughtered 1			So	ld		ce at the the year	Everything Amount	Growth In kg	
a group	Who	kg	Who	kg	Who	kg	Who	kg	Who	kg	rsd	23-13	
12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	
Sows	657	144354									20931330		
Sows					67	13930					2019850		
Pigs 31.12.13									1591	278425	40371625		
In total									2315	436709	63322805		
Pigs 01.01.13									2315	385600	55912000	51109	
Growth									-	51109	7410805		

#### Table 10. Output status

Source: Authors' calculation based on data from Mičić, 2013

#### Table 11.Input state

				Entrance fo	or the period	d from 01.	.01.201	3	
No.	Category Livestock	Star Beginni years	njena Ing	Amount	Tra	inslated		Eve	rything
		Who	kg	Rsd	From the group	Who	kg	Who	kg
1	2	3 4		5	6	7	8	9	10
	Breeding nerves				Pigs				
1.	Pigs1.1.13.	35	7770	1243200					
2.	Purchased nerves	6	771	253704				41	8541
3.	In total	41	8541	1380354					

			Ou	tput f	or the	period	until 3	31 12	2013			
Transla	nted			ighte ed	D	ied	Sol	ld	the en	nce at d of the ear	Everything Amount	In kg
a group	Who	kg	Who	kg	Who	kg	Who	kg	Who	kg	rsd	23-13
12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
Unruly	4	1320									191400	
Unruly					3	890					129050	
Pigs 31.12.2013									34	8500	1232500	
In total									41	10710	1552950	
Pigs01.01.2013									41	8541	1380354	2169
Growth									-	2169	172596	

#### Table 12. Output status

Source: Authors' calculation based on data from Mičić, 2013

Note: Table 1,3,5,7,9 and 11 shows the entry status of all types of pigs for the period from01.01 to 31.12.2013. Table 2,4,6,8,10 and 12 show the output status of all types of pigs for the period until 31 December 2013.

Table 13.Livestock income on farm for 2013.

Category of livestock	Kg	Amount (€)
Piglets on cheese	156.597	189.221,4
Closed piglets	421.680	488.837,3
Breeding gilts	33.365	40.316,0
Breeding sows	51.109	61.756,7
Breeding nerves	2.169	1.438,3
Total increase	664.920	781.569,7

Source: Authors' calculation based on data from Mičić, 2013

January- December	Piglets on cheese	Closed	l piglets	Runni	ing pigs	Breed	ing sows	Bree	0
Moon	Who	Who	kg	Who	kg	Who	kg	Who	kg
I	515	270	5	141	6140	7	1490		
II	510	280	5	106	4750	4	850		
III	550	290	5	118	4570	4	860		
IV	540	280	5	79	3300	5	1070	3	890
January- December	Piglets on cheese	Closed	l piglets	Runn	ing pigs	Breed	ing sows	Bree	8
Moon	Who	Who	kg	Who	kg	Who	kg	Who	kg

Table 14. Will end up at the farm for 2013.

EP 2017 (64) 3 (1003-1018)

OPTIMAL FLOCK STRUCTURE OF PIG FARM PROVIDING MINIMUM COSTS

v	520	320	5	84	3420	3	630		
VI	540	285	5	67	2760	6	1230		
VII	510	290	5	64	2670	10	2040		
VIII	510	285	5	50	2140	7	1420		
IX	540	300	5	63	2590	3	630		
X	540	285	5	64	2690	6	1200		
XI	530	270	5	69	2960	5	1020		
XII	510	270	5	77	2990	7	1490		
In total	6.315	3.425	17.150	982	40.980	67	13.930	3	890

Source: Authors' calculation based on data from Mičić, 2013

Note: Table 13 shows the increase in livestock of all types of pigs for the period from 01/01/2013 to 31/12/2013, and in Table 14, the cattle will die in the farm in 2013

### Setting a model to solve a defined problem

When setting the model, we first define the production lines that take into account to enter the optimal production structure.

In the model model, it starts from the assumption that in the selected livestock capacities the optimal organizational structure of the flock of a pig farmer's net effective area of  $12\ 000\ m^2$ , by linear programming, is determined.

The organizational structure should be framed within the following limits:

Piglets 20-25% Breeding subspecies 5-8% Fattening pigs 70-80% Sows 4-8% i Unbreakable 0,3-5%.

The following space is planned for the individual of certain categories: piglets on cheese  $0.4 \text{ m}^2$ , breeding pig  $0.7 \text{ m}^2$ , pig fodder  $1.2 \text{ m}^2$ , sowers  $1.5 \text{ m}^2$  and nests  $1.8 \text{ m}^2$ .

The costs of individual holding categories are as follows:

For a piglet 700 dinars, piglets for breeding (breeding subspecies) 1,800 dinars, pig meat 3,500 dinars, sowers 5,000 dinars and non-dinner 4,000 dinars.

In our case, it is defined through the number of livestock category cattle, accommodation capacities, organizational structure of herds and direct costs per unit of capacity.

In solving the model, it is necessary to define the economic function of the criteria.

#### 1. Independent variable:

- Xi number of livestock category cattle "and"
- I = 1 (1) 5 cattle categories
- I = 1 piglets
- I = 2 breeding subspecies
- I = 3 fattening pigs
- I = 4 sows
- I = 5 unbreakable
- 2. Limitations:
- a) Accommodation capacities

 $0,4 \text{ m}2 / \text{throat } X1 \text{ (throat)} + 0,7 \text{ }X2 + 1,2 \text{ }X3 + 1,5 \text{ }X4 + 1,8 \text{ }X5 = 12,000 \text{ }m^2$ 

b) Organizational structure of the herd

 $\begin{aligned} &X1 > 0,20 \; (X1 + X2 + X3 + X4 + X5); \; 0,80 \; X1 - 0,20 \; (X2 + X3 + X4 + X5) > 0 \\ &X1 < 0,25 \; (X1 + X2 + X3 + X4 + X5); \; 0,75 \; X1 - 0,25 \; (X2 + X3 + X4 + X5) < 0 \end{aligned}$   $\begin{aligned} &X2 > 0,05 \; (X1 + X2 + X3 + X4 + X5); \; 0,95 \; X2 - 0,05 \; (X1 + X3 + X4 + X5) > 0 \\ &X2 < 0,08 \; (X1 + X2 + X3 + X4 + X5); \; 0,92 \; X2 - 0,08 \; (X1 + X3 + X4 + X5) < 0 \end{aligned}$   $\begin{aligned} &X3 > 0,7 \; (X1 + X2 + X3 + X4 + X5); \; 0,3 \; X3 - 0,7 \; (X1 + X2 + X4 + X5) > 0 \\ &X3 < 0,8 \; (X1 + X2 + X3 + X4 + X5); \; 0,2 \; X3 - 0,8 \; (X1 + X2 + X4 + X5) > 0 \end{aligned}$   $\begin{aligned} &X4 > 0,04 \; (X1 + X2 + X3 + X4 + X5); \; 0,96 \; X4 - 0,04 \; (X1 + X2 + X3 + X4) > 0 \\ &X4 < 0,08 \; (X1 + X2 + X3 + X4 + X5); \; 0,997X5 - 0,003 \; (X1 + X2 + X3 + X4) > 0 \end{aligned}$   $\begin{aligned} &X5 > 0,003 \; (X1 + X2 + X3 + X4 + X5); \; 0,997X5 - 0,003 \; (X1 + X2 + X3 + X4) > 0 \\ &X5 < 0,05 \; (X1 + X2 + X3 + X4 + X5); \; 0,95 \; X5 - 0,05 \; (X1 + X2 + X3 + X4) < 0 \end{aligned}$ 

3. Function of criteria:

Minimum expenses

700 din / throatX1 (throat) + 1,800 X 2 + 3,500 X 3 + 5,000 X 4 + 4,000 X 5 = V (min)

In setting up the model of the optimal structure of the household, one of the most important issues is defining the factors over the corresponding onesWhich will, through the linear programming model, essentially influence the flow of the solution and the selection of the structure of pig production.

Projection of the optimization of the structure of pig production is based on the achieved

average production and economic results in order to compare the projected and actual production structure and economic results.

Task solution:

MIN 700X1 + 1800X2 + 3500X3 + 5000X4 + 4000X5 SUBJECT TO 0.4X1 + 0.7X2 + 1.2X3 + 1.5X4 + 1.8X5 = 12000 0.80X1 - 0.20X2 - 0.20X3 - 0.20X4 - 0.20X5 > 0 0.75X1 - 0.25X2 - 0.25X3 - 0.25X4 - 0.25X5 < 0 0.95X2 - 0.05X1 - 0.05X3 - 0.05X4 - 0.05X5 > 0 0.92X2 - 0.08X1 - 0.08X3 - 0.08X4 - 0.08X5 < 0 0.3X3 - 0.7X1 - 0.7X2 - 0.7X4 - 0.7X5 > 0 0.2X3 - 0.8X1 - 0.8X2 - 0.8X4 - 0.8X5 < 0 0.96X4 - 0.04X1 - 0.04X2 - 0.04X3 - 0.04X5 > 0 0.92X4 - 0.08X1 - 0.08X2 - 0.08X3 - 0.08X5 < 0 0.997 X5 - 0.003X1 - 0.003X2 - 0.003X3 - 0.003X4 > 0 0.95X5 - 0.05X1 - 0.05X2 - 0.05X3 - 0.05X4 < 0 END

LP OPTIMUM FOUND AT STEP 6

**OBJECTIVE FUNCTION VALUE** 

0.2575503E+08

VARIABLE	VALUE	REDUCED COST
X1	2516.778564	0.000000
X2	335.570465	0.000000
X3	5033.557129	0.000000
X4	419.463074	0.000000
X5	83.892616	0.000000

ROW SLACK OR SURPLUS DUAL PRICES

2)	0.000000	-2575.503418
3)	419.463074	0.000000
4)	0.000000	427.348999
5)	83.892616	0.000000
6)	83.892616	0.000000
7)	0.000000	-197.147644
8)	838.926147	0.000000
9)	0.000000	-1424.496704
10)	2516.778564	0.000000
11)	41.946312	0.000000
12)	0.000000	90.604027

RANGES IN WHICH THE BASIS IS UNCHANGED:

#### **OBJ COEFFICIENT RANGES**

VARIABLE	CURRENT	ALLOWABLE	ALLOWABLE
	COEF	INCREASE	DECREASE
X1	800.000000	397.347900	300.000000
X2	2000.000000	192.622940	87.096779
X3	4000.000000	2830.000000	150.000000
X4	6000.000000	6714.285645	1486.865234
X5	5000.000000	91.525421	INFINITY
	RIGHTHANI	O SIDE RANGE	S
ROW	CURRENT	ALLOWABLE	ALLOWABLE

	RHS	INCREASE	DECREASE
2	10000.000000	INFINITY	9999.999023
3	0.000000	419.463074	INFINITY
4	0.000000	84.104294	83.682007
5	0.000000	83.892616	INFINITY
6	0.000000	INFINITY	83.892616

7	0.000000	83.402832	84.388184
8	0.000000	INFINITY	838.926147
9	0.000000	83.194679	84.602364
10	0.000000	INFINITY	2516.778564
11	0.000000	41.946312	INFINITY
12	0.000000	83.056480	42.158520

#### Conclusion

Based on the analysis of the situation in the production and the optimal organizational structure of the livestock farm, which ensures minimal costs in the amount of 25,755,000 dinars, the following structure of the herd has been achieved: 2.517 heads of pigs, 336 seeds of juvenile breeding, pigs 5.034 heads, 419 sows and nerazoles 84 throats. Total deaths on the farm in 2013 were: pigs on cheese 6,315 heads, 3,425 heads, 17,150 kg pigs, 988 heads, 40,980 kg pigs, 67 seeds, 13,930 kg breeders and 890 kg of unadjusted 3 heads. The total increase in livestock per farm for the first year is 664.920 kg, from 781.569,7 EUR. Another argument in the request for determining the costs of pig production according to the meat share, which was shown in the farm's research, is that it is best to close the entire production cycle. This is primarily the market for fattening pigs, piglets and pig meat in Serbia in 2013. After the research, a number of very important questions were answered in the paper: the level of costs is not such as to stimulate pig farmers, and the price affects the volume of production. Consumption of pork is cyclically reduced due to relatively high prices and a decline in living standards in the Republic of Serbia. The new scientific findings in pig breeding have come to light, the advantages of this method of training have been examined and scientific contribution has been given to the improvement of the development of pig production, for which Serbia, along with pig breeding tradition, has excellent geographical ecological potentials. As the final conclusion of these studies, pig farms may be recommended to be organized into production groups, co-operatives, clusters or franchisees (franchisees) or franchisees (franchises) to be able to place their products at higher prices. The basis for achieving better production results is a change in the type of production, meals, facilities, mechanization of work processes, farm size, intensity, rational management of costs in farm conditions. Because of their specificity, primarily biological character, each line of agricultural production must be viewed and organized as a complex process composed of a number of elements having one goal, namely achieving as good a result as possible with a lower investment unit. In Serbian swineyard, there is a traditionalism that needs to undergo fundamental changes in order to achieve the desired results. Such results can be achieved only in the case of the establishment of a fully functional closed system of breeding. There is a traditionalism in Serbian swine that needs to go through fundamental changes in order to achieve the desired results. Such results can be achieved only in the case of the establishment of a fully functional closed herd breeding system.

EP 2017 (64) 3 (1003-1018)

#### Literature

- Andrić, J. (1998): *Troškovii kalkulacije u poljoprivrednoj proizvodnji*, Poljoprivredni fakultet – Zemun, Beograd; CouncilRegulation (EEC) No 3220/84 of 13 November 1984 determiningthe Community scale forgrading pig carcass, 1984. Official Journal of the European Communities No L 301, 20/11/1984, No. 1-3.
- Džinić, N., Petrović, Lj., Tomović, V., Manojlović, D., Timanović, S., Trišić-Ilić, S., Mrdjanov, J. (2003): *Uticaj višerasnog ukrštanja i godišnjeg doba na kvalitet polutki i mesa svinja*, Savremena poljoprivreda, Vol. 52, No. 3-4, pp. 335-337.
- Džinić, N., Petrović, Lj., Tomović, V., Manojlović, D., Timanović, S., Vidanrić, D., Kurjakov, N. (2004): Ocena kvaliteta polutki i mesa svinja rasa veliki švedski ladras. Biotechnology in animal husbandry, Vol. 20, No. 1 1-2, pp. 67-73.
- 4. Fisher, A.V., Green, D.M., Whittemore, C.T., Wood, J.D., Schofield, C.P. (2003): *Growth of carcass components and its relation with conformation in pigs of threetypes*, Meat Science, Vol. 65, No. 1, pp. 639-650.
- 5. Jukna, V., Jukna, C. (2005): *The comparable estimation of meat quality of pigsbreeds and their combinations in Lithuania*, Biotechnology in Animal Husbandry, Vol. 21, No. 5-6, pp. 175-179.
- Kosovac, O., Josipović, S., Živković, B., Radović, Č., Marinkov, G., Tomašević, D. (2007): Comparable presentation of carcass and meat quality of differentpig genotypes using modern evaluation methods, . 2nd International Congresson animal husbandry "New perspectives and challenges of sustainable livestockfarming", Belgrade, Serbia, Biotechnology In Animal Husbandry, Vol. 23, No. 5-6, pp. 291-301.
- Manojlović, D., Petrović, Lj., Džinić, N., Kurjakov, N. (1999): *Kvalitet trupa i mesa svinja osnova kvaliteta proizvoda*, Monografija: Tehnologija proizvodnje i kvalitet konzervi od mesa u komadima, No. 67-90, Tehnološki fakultet u Novom Sadu.
- 8. Mičić, I. (2014): Strategija razvoja proizvodnje svinjskog mesa i njegova ekonomska opravdanost, magistarska teza, Poljoprivredni fakultet, Univerzitet u Prištini, Srbija.
- 9. Mičić, I., Urošević, D., Vujić, R., Mičić, I. I., Mičić, M., Mičić, I. M. (2016a): Operating costs of agricultural holdings with equal production possibilities, Economics of Agriculture Belgrade Serbia, Vol. 63, No. 2, pp. 407-428.
- Mičić, I., Rajić, Z., Živković, D., Nikolić, S., Mičić, I. I., Mičić, I. M. (2016b): Development strategy of economically justified pig meat production from farm to fork: agricultural economic analysis, ZAEM, Association of Agricultural Economists of Republic of Macedonia, AAEM 10<sup>TH</sup> Intrnational Conference, UDC 338.439.4:637.5'64:303.72 (497.11) pp. 148-155.
- 11. Petrović, M., Radović, Č., Perunović, N., Radojković, D., Aleksić, S., Stanišić, N., Popovac, N. (2010): *Quality traits of carcass sides and meat of moravka and mangalitsa pig breeds*, Biotechnology in Animal Husbandry, Vol. 26, pp. 21-27.

- Petrović, M. M., Aleksić, S., Petrović, M. P., Petrovič, M., Pantelić, V., Novaković, Ž., Ružić Muslić, D. (2013): *Potencijali stočarske proizvodnje*, Belgrad, Srbia, Biotechnology in Animal Husbandry Vol. 29, No. 1, pp 1-17.
- 13. Radović, B. (2000): Gajenje svinja, D. P. ''Grafika'', Kruševac.
- 14. Radović, I. (2002): Primena linearnog programiranja u poljoprivredi, Potez, Beograd.
- 15. Rahelić, S. (1984): Uzgoj svinje i meso, Školska knjiga, Zagreb.
- 16. Rajić, Z. (2003): *Model za optimiranje structure proizvodnje industrijske proizvodnje klanice*, doktorska disertacija, Poljoprivredni fakultet, Univerzitet u Novom Sadu, Srbija.
- 17. Timanović, S., Vidanić, D., Kurjakov, N. (2003): *Ocena kvaliteta polutki i mesa svinja rasa veliki jorkšir i švedski landras*, Biotehnologija u stočarstvu Vol. 19, No. 5-6, p. 500.
- Tomović, V., Petrović, Lj., Džinić, N., Manojlović, D., Timanović, S., Trišić-Ilić, S. (2005): *Meat yield in primal cuts and sides of pigs determined by partial dissection*, International 53rd meat industry conference "New integral approach to meat andproducts safety", Vrnjačka banja, I-15, No. 31-34.
- Vidović, V., Krnjaić, J., Lukač, D., Višnjić, V., Stupar, M. (2012): Growth intensity of the gilts fertile breed pigs in the Nucleus farm, Biotech, Anim. Hus., Vol. 28, No. 4, pp. 787-796.
- Zekić, V., Okanović, Đ. (2007): Prudence of fatty pigs production, I International Congress: "FOOD TECHNOLOGY, QUALITY AND SAFETY", XI Symposium NODA: "Technology, quality andsafety in pork production and meat Processing", Proceedings No. 33-37.
- Zekić, V., Tomović, V., Milić, D., Vidović, V., Lukač, D. (2013): *Ekonomska* obeležja proizvodnje svinja rase landras i mangulica, Letopis naučnih radova Poljoprivrednog fakulteta, Vol. 37, No. 1, pp. 191-199.
- 22. Živković, D., Perunović, M. (2012): *Poznavanje mesa*, praktikum, Poljoprivredni fakultet, Univerzitet u Beogradu.

# OPTIMALNA STRUKTURA SVINJA NA FARMI SA MINIMALNIM PROIZVODNIM TROŠKOVIMA

Ivan Mičić<sup>8</sup>, Zoran Rajić<sup>9</sup>, Jelena Živković<sup>10</sup>, Dragan Orović<sup>11</sup>, Marko Mičić<sup>12</sup>, Ivana Mičić<sup>13</sup>, Marija Mičić<sup>14</sup>

### Rezime

Studija se bavi analizom obrta stada svinjogojske farme u proizvodnji svinjskog mesa u Srbiji, kao i neto efektivne površine oprimalne organizacione structure tovljenika u farmskim uslovima. Postavlja se pitanje optimalne structure proizvodnje na zadružnom gazdinstvu, koja je uglavnom orijentisano na proizvodnji tovnih svinja. U

radu je definisan i urađen konkretan model linearnog programiranja za optimalnu organizacionu strukturu stada svinjarske farme neto efektivne površin., Istraživanje je obuhvatilo zadružnu farmu "1. Decembr" u Žitorađi u Topličkom okrugu. Za 2013 godinu praćena je tehnologija proizvodnje tovljenika na farmi, i analizirani su ekonomski rezultati. Tokom jednogodišnjeg posmatranog perioda. Utvrđeno je da je na farmi uzgoj tovljenika bio je 28.252 grla. Ukupni prirast na farmi, u 2013. godini bio je 664.920 kg, u iznosu od 781.569,7 €. Ukupno uginuće: prasadi na sisi 6.315 grla, zalučena prasad 3.425 grla, 17.150 kg, tovnih svinja 928 grla, 40.980 kg, krmače 67 grla 13.930 kg, nerastova 3 grla 890 kg.

Ključne reči: obrt stada, površine po grlu, prirast, uginuće, minimalni troškovi

- 10 Jelena Živković, Master, Univerzitet u Beogradu, Poljoprivredni fakultet, Nemanjina ulica br. 6, 11080 Zemun, Srbija, Telefon: +381 11 261 53 15, E-mail: <u>zivkovic@yahoo.com</u>
- 11 Mr Dragan Orović, doktorant, Univerzitet u Beogradu, Poljoprivredni fakultet, Nemanjina ulica br. 6, 11080 Zemun, Srbija, Telefon: +381 69870 25 20, E-mail: <u>draganorovic@gmail.com</u>
- 12 Marko Mičić, diplomirani ekonomista, Univerzitet u Nišu, Ekonomski fakultet, Trg Kralja Aleksandra Ujedinitelja br. 11, 18000 Niš, Srbija, Telefon: +381 63 680 040, E-mail: markomicic89@gmail.com
- 13 Ivana Mičić M.A., doktorand, Univerzitet u Nišu, Ekonomski fakultet, Trg Kralja Aleksandra Ujedinitelja br. 11, 18000 Niš, Srbija, Telefon: +381 63 233 603, E-mail: ivancica@gmail.com
- 14 Marija Mičić M.A., doktorant, Univerzitet u Nišu, Tehnološki fakultet u Leskovcu, Bulevar Oslobođenja br. 124, 16000 Leskovac, Srbija, Telefon: +381 62 867 45 98, E-mail: <u>marija84micic@gmail.com</u>

<sup>8</sup> Mr Ivan Mičić, doktorant, Univerzitet u Beogradu, Poljoprivredni fakultet, Nemanjina ulica br. 6, 11080 Zemun, Srbija, Telefon: +381 62 973 11 58, E-mail: <u>divanlav@gmail.com</u>

<sup>9</sup> Redovni profesor, dr Zoran Rajić, Univerzitet u Beogradu, Poljoprivredni fakultet, Nemanjina ulica br. 6, 11080 Zemun, Srbija, Telefon: +381 11 261 53 15, E-mail: <u>zorajic@agrif.bg.ac.rs</u>

# UDC 338.43:63 ECONOMICS OF AGRICULTURE

# CONTENT

1.	THE IMPACT OF AIR QUALITY CONDITIONED BY EMISSION OF POLUTTANTS TO THE DEVELOPMENT
	OF RURAL TOURISM AND POTENTIALS OF RURAL AREAS 871
2.	Dejan Đurić, Jelena Ristić, Dragana Đurić, Ivana Vujanić EXPORT OF AGRICULTURAL AND FOOD PRODUCTS IN THE FUNCTION OF ECONOMIC GROWTH OF REPUBLIC OF SERBIA
3.	Tamara Gajić, Aleksandra Vujko, Mirjana Penić, Marko D. Petrović,Milutin MrkšaSIGNIFICANT INVOLVEMENT OF AGRICULTURAL HOLDINGSIN RURAL TOURISM DEVELOPMENT IN SERBIA901
4.	Muuz Hadush EXPLORING FARMERS' SEASONAL AND FULL YEAR ADOPTION OF STALL FEEDING OF LIVESTOCK IN TIGRAI REGION, ETHIOPIA
5.	Mina Kovljenić, Mirko Savić FACTORS INFLUENCING MEAT AND FISH CONSUMPTION IN SERBIAN HOUSEHOLDS - EVIDENCE FROM SILC DATABASE . 945
6.	Bojan Krstić, Jelena Petrović, Tanja Stanišić, Ernad Kahrović ANALYSIS OF THE ORGANIC AGRICULTURE LEVEL OF DEVELOPMENT IN THE EUROPEAN UNION COUNTRIES 957
7.	Mirjana Lukač Bulatović, Veljko Vukoje, Dušan Milić ECONOMIC INDICATORS OF THE PRODUCTION OF IMPORTANT FRUIT-SPECIFIC SPECIES IN VOJVODINA 973
8.	Goran Maksimović, Božidar Milošević, Radomir Jovanović RESEARCH OF CONSUMERS' ATTITUDES ON THE ORGANIC FOOD CONSUMPTION IN THE SERBIAN ENCLAVES IN KOSOVO 987

9.	Ivan Mičić, Zoran Rajić, Jelena Živković, Dragan Orović, Marko Mičić, Ivana Mičić, Marija Mičić OPTIMAL FLOCK STRUCTURE OF PIG FARM PROVIDING MINIMUM COSTS
10.	Miroslav Miškić, Goran Ćorić, Danijela Vukosavljević BUILDING FINANCIAL AND INSURANCE RESILIENCE IN THE CONTEXT OF CLIMATE CHANGE
11.	Vladimir Njegomir, Ljubo Pejanović, Zoran Keković AGRICULTURAL ENTREPRENEURSHIP, ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AND INSURANCE
12.	Nenad Perić, Andrijana Vasić Nikčević, Nenad Vujić CONSUMERS ATTITUDES ON ORGANIC FOOD IN SERBIA AND CROATIA: A COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS
13.	Branko Vučković, Branislav Veselinović, Maja Drobnjaković FINANCING OF PERMANENT WORKING CAPITAL IN AGRICULTURE
14.	Bahrija Kačar, Jasmina Curić, Selma Ikić ISLAMIC BANKS AND FINANCE AND THE POSSIBILITY OF AGRICULTURAL INVESTMENTS IN THE REPUBLIC OF SERBIA
15.	Aleksandar Damnjanović, Neđo Danilović, Erol Mujanović, Zoran Milojević NONLINEAR STOCHASTIC MODELLING DYNAMIC OF THE AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTS EXCHANGE RATES1101
16.	Filip Đoković, Radovan Pejanović, Miloš Mojsilović, Jelena Đorđević Boljanović, Katarina Plećić <b>OPPORTUNITIES TO REVITALISE RURAL TOURISM THROUGH</b> <b>THE OPERATION OF AGRARIAN COOPERATIVES</b>
17.	Aleksandar Jazić, Miloš Jončić THE IMPACT OF TRANSITION ON AGRICULTURE AND RURAL AREAS IN HUNGARY
18.	Vlado Kovačević, Mirjana Bojčevski, Biljana Chroneos Krasavac IMPORTANCE OF FEEDBACK INFORMATION FROM FARM ACCOUNTANCY DATA NETWORK OF THE REPUBLIC OF SERBIA

Economics of Agriculture, Year 64, No. 3 (861-1312) 2017, Belgrade

19.	Dalibor Krstinić, Nenad Bingulac, Joko Dragojlović CRIMINAL AND CIVIL LIABILITY FOR ENVIRONMENTAL DAMAGE
20.	Boris Kuzman, Nedeljko Prdić, Zoran Dobraš THE IMPORTANCE OF THE WHOLESALE MARKETS FOR TRADE IN AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTS
21.	Nadežda Ljubojev, Marijana Dukić Mijatović, Željko Vojinović LEGAL PROTECTION OF NEW PLANT VARIETIES IN THE REPUBLIC OF SERBIA
22.	Miodrag Mićović THE LEGAL NATURE AND THE FRAMEWORK FOR COOPERATIVE ACTIVITIES
23.	Lana Nastic, Todor Markovic, Sanjin Ivanovic ECONOMIC EFFICIENCY OF EXTENSIVE LIVESTOCK PRODUCTION IN THE EUROPEAN UNION
24.	Goran Paunovic, Dragan Solesa, Marko Ivanis SITE SELECTION OF THE CONSTRUCTION OF THE SYSTEM FOR THE PRODUCTION OF PASTA IN AP VOJVODINA
25.	Milan Počuča, Jelena Matijasevic - Obradovic, Bojana Draskovic CORRELATION BETWEEN THE AIR QUALITY INDEX SAQI_11 AND SUSTAINABLE RURAL DEVELOPMENT IN THE REPUBLIC OF SERBIA
26.	Jovanka Popov-Raljić, Milica Aleksić, Vesna Janković, Ivana Blešić, Milan Ivkov <b>RISK MANAGEMENT OF ALLERGENIC FOOD</b> <b>INGREDIENTS IN HOSPITALITY</b>
27.	Tanja Vujović, Sonja Vujović, Miloš Pavlović SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY IN MARKETING OF THE FOOD INDUSTRY AND ITS DISTRIBUTORS